

EXHIBIT 3

IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

In Re: Chapter 11

Case No.

01-01139 JKF

W.R. Grace & Co., et al.,

Debtors. (Jointly
Administered)

X

* * * CONFIDENTIAL * * *

— — —
May 13, 2009

— — —
DEPOSITION of RICHARD FINKE, held
at the offices of Kirkland & Ellis, 655
Fifteenth Street, N.W., Washington, DC,
commencing at 9:32 A.M., on the above
date, before Lisa Lynch, a Registered
Merit Reporter, New Jersey Certified Court
Reporter, License No. XI00825, and
Certified Realtime Reporter

— — —
MAGNA LEGAL SERVICES, LLP

7 Penn Center, 8th Floor
1635 Market Street
Philadelphia, PA 19103

Page 2

Page 4

APPEARANCES:

DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH, LLP
 BY: MICHAEL F. BROWN, ESQUIRE
 One Logan Square
 18th and Cherry Streets
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-6996
 (brownmf@db.com)
 Representing OneBeacon America Insurance
 Company, Seaton Insurance Company,
 Government Employees Insurance Company,
 Columbia Insurance Company f/k/a Republic
 Insurance Company

CAPLIN & DRYSDALE, CHARTERED
 BY: JEFFREY A. LIESEMER, ESQUIRE
 One Thomas Circle NW
 Suite 1100
 Washington, DD 20005
 202.862.7801
 (jal@capdale.com)
 Representing Grace, Official Committee of
 Asbestos Personal Injury Claimants ("ACC")

KIRKLAND & ELLIS, LP
 BY: BARBARA M. HARDING, ESQUIRE
 THEODORE L. FREEDMAN, ESQUIRE
 655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20005-5793
 202.879.5081
 (barbara.harding@kirkland.com)
 (tfreedman@kirkland.com)
 Representing the Debtors

THE LAW OFFICES OF JANET S. BAER, P.C.
 BY: JANET S. BAER, ESQUIRE
 70 West Madison Street
 Suite 2100
 Chicago, Illinois 60602
 jbaer@jsbpc.com
 Representing W.R. Grace

APPEARANCES:(continued)

MENDES & MOUNT, LLP
 BY: ALEXANDER MUELLER, ESQUIRE
 750 Seventh Avenue
 New York, New York 10019
 212.261.8296
 (alexander.mueller@mendes.com)
 Representing London Market Companies

FORD MARRIN ESPOSITO & WITNEYER & GLESER
 BY: ELIZABETH M. DeCRISTOFARO, ESQUIRE*
 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)
 Wall Street Plaza
 New York, New York 10005-1875
 212.269.4900
 Representing Continental Casualty Company
 and Continental Insurance Company

BILZIN SUMBERG BAENA PRICE & AXELROD, LLP
 BY: MATTHEW I. KRAMER, ESQUIRE*
 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)
 200 South Biscayne Boulevard
 Suite 2500
 Miami, Florida 33131-5340
 305.450.7246
 (mkramer@bilzin.com)
 Representing Property Damage Committee

STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN, LLP
 BY: ARLENE G. KRIEGER, ESQUIRE*
 LEWIS KRUGER, ESQUIRE*
 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)
 180 Maiden Lane
 New York, New York 10038-4982
 212.806.5400
 (akrieger@stroock.com)
 Representing Official Committee of
 Unsecured Creditors

Page 3

Page 5

APPEARANCES:(continued)

SIMPSON THACHER & BARTLETT, LLP
 BY: ELISA ALCABES, ESQUIRE
 425 Lexington Avenue
 New York, New York 10017-3954
 212.455.2846
 (ealcabes@stblaw.com)
 Representing Travelers Casualty and Surety
 Company

VORYS, SATER, SEYMOUR AND PEASE, LLP
 BY: WILLIAM J. POHLMAN, ESQUIRE*
 PHILIP DOWNEY, ESQUIRE*
 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)
 52 East Gay Street
 Columbus, Ohio 43215
 614.464.8349
 (wjphlman@vorys.com)
 Representing The Scotts Company, LLC

LEWIS, SLOVAK & KOVACICH, PC
 BY: TOM L. LEWIS, ESQUIRE
 P.O. Box 2325
 723 Third Avenue
 Great Falls, Montana 59403
 406.761.5595
 tom@lsklaw.net
 Representing the Libby Claimants

SPEIGHTS & RUNYAN
 BY: DANIEL H. SPEIGHTS, ESQUIRE*
 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)
 200 Jackson Avenue East
 P.O. Box 685
 Hampton, South Carolina 29924
 803.943.4444
 (dspeights@speightsrunyan.com)
 Representing Anderson Memorial Hospital

APPEARANCES:(continued)

CROWELL & MORING, LLP
 BY: MARK D. PLEVIN, ESQUIRE
 NOAH S. BLOOMBERG, ESQUIRE
 1001 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
 Washington, DC 20004-2595
 202.624.2913
 (mplevin@crowell.com)
 (nbloomberg@crowell.com)
 Representing Fireman's Fund Insurance
 (Surety Bond)

STEVENS & LEE, P.C.
 BY: MARNIE E. SIMON, ESQUIRE
 1818 Market Street, 29th Floor
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-1702
 215.751.2885
 (mes@stevenslee.com)
 Representing Fireman's Fund Insurance

LAW OFFICES OF ALAN B. RICH
 BY: ALAN B. RICH, ESQUIRE
 Elm Place, Suite 4620
 1401 Elm Street
 Dallas, Texas 75202
 214.744.5100
 (arich@alanrichlaw.com)
 Representing Property Damage PCR

CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP
 BY: JEFFREY C. WISLER, ESQUIRE
 The Nemours Building
 1007 North Orange Street
 P.O. Box 2207
 Wilmington, Delaware 19899
 302.888.6528
 (jwisler@cblh.com)
 Representing Maryland Casualty

Page 6

1 APPEARANCES: (continued)
 2 ECKERT SEAMANS CHERIN & MELLOTT, LLC
 BY: EDWARD J. LONGOSZ, II, ESQUIRE
 3 1747 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
 4 12th Floor
 Washington, DC 20006
 202.659.6619
 5 (elongosz@eckertseamans.com)
 Representing Maryland Casualty and Zurich
 6
 7 WILEY REIN, LLP
 BY: RICHARD A. IFFT, ESQUIRE
 8 1776 K Street NW
 Washington, DC 20006
 9 202.719.7170
 (riff@wileyrein.com)
 10 Representing Maryland Casualty and Zurich
 11
 COZEN O'CONNOR
 12 BY: JACOB C. COHN, ESQUIRE
 1900 Market Street
 13 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-3508
 215.665.2147
 14 (jcohn@cozen.com)
 Representing Federal Insurance Company
 15
 16 ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE, LLP
 BY: PERI N. MAHALEY, ESQUIRE
 17 Columbia Center
 1152 15th Street, N.W.
 18 Washington, DC 20005-1706
 202.339.8516
 19 (pmahaley@orrick.com)
 Representing PI Future Claimants'
 20 Representative
 21
 CUYLER BURK, P.C.
 22 BY: ANDREW CRAIG, ESQUIRE
 4 Century Drive
 23 Parsippany, New Jersey 07054
 973.734.3200
 4 (acraig@cuyler.com)

Page 8

1 INDEX
 EXAMINATION
 2
 Witness Name Page
 3 RICHARD FINKE
 4 BY MR. BROWN 12,333
 5 BY MS. ALCABES 129
 6 BY MR. LEWIS 187
 7 BY MR. PLEVIN 265
 8 BY MR. WISLER 285
 9 BY MR. COHN 289
 10 BY MR. MANGAN 296
 11 BY MR. DOWNEY 305, 371
 12 BY MR. SCHIAVONI 343
 13 BY MR. SPEIGHTS 347
 14
 15 EXHIBITS
 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION ID
 16
 17 Exhibit 1 Notice of Deposition of 16
 Debtors Pursuant to Rule
 30(b)(6)
 18
 19 Exhibit 2 Document entitled W.R. 16
 Grace/Confirmation Hearing
 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice
 20
 21 Exhibit 3 SEC Form 8-K 25
 22
 23 Exhibit 4 Exhibit 6 to Exhibit Book, 41
 Asbestos Insurance
 Transfer Agreement
 24
 Exhibit 5 Exhibit 19 to Exhibit 53
 Book, Retained Causes of

Page 7

1 APPEARANCES: (continued)
 2 O'MELVENY & MEYERS LLP
 BY: TANCREDO SCHIAVONI, ESQUIRE*
 3 (*VIA TELEPHONE)
 7 Times Square
 4 New York, New York 10036
 212.326.2267
 5 (tschiavoni@omm.com)
 Representing Arrowood Indemnity Company
 6
 7 WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE, PLLC
 BY: KEVIN J. MANGAN, ESQUIRE*
 8 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)
 222 Delaware Avenue
 9 Suite 1501
 Wilmington, Delaware 19801
 10 302.252.4361
 (kmangan@wcsr.com)
 11 Representing State of Montana
 12
 13 PEPPER HAMILTON, LLP
 BY: LINDA J. CASEY, ESQUIRE*
 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)
 14 3000 Two Logan Square
 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103
 15 215.981.4000
 (caseyl@pepperlaw.com)
 16 Representing BNSF Railway Company
 17 KRAMER LEVIN NAFTALIS & FRANKEL, LLP
 BY: SARAH SCHINDLER-WILLIAMS, ESQUIRE*
 18 (*VIA TELECONFERENCE)
 1177 Avenue of the Americas
 19 New York, New York 10036
 212.715.9515
 20 (SSchindlerWilliams@kramerlevin.com)
 Representing the Equity Committee
 21
 22
 23
 24

Page 9

1 EXHIBITS
 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION ID
 2
 3 Exhibit 6 Exhibit 2 to Exhibit Book, 55
 Asbestos PI Trust Agreement
 4
 5 Exhibit 7 Exhibit 4 to Exhibit Book, 55
 Trust Distribution
 Procedures
 6
 7 Exhibit 8 First Amended Joint Plan 70
 of Reorganization
 8 Exhibit 9 Exhibit 5 to Exhibit Book, 92
 Schedule of Settled
 9 Asbestos Insurers Entitled
 to 524(g) Protection
 10
 11 Exhibit Settlement Agreement 98
 10 Bates stamped QB 1 through
 33
 12
 13 Exhibit Travelers/Allstate 135
 11 30(b)(6) deposition notice
 14 Exhibit Travelers 30(b)(6) 136
 12 supplemental deposition
 notice
 15
 16 Exhibit Grace/Aetna Asbestos 149
 13 Settlement Agreement dated
 May 22, 1996
 17
 18 Exhibit Exhibit 25 to Exhibit 178
 14 Book, CMO for Class 7A
 Asbestos PD Claims
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24

Page 10

DEPOSITION SUPPORT INDEX

Direction to Witness Not To Answer

Page Line Page Line

30 2 37 12

37 17 39 8

39 15 369 1

Request For Production of Documents

Page Line Page Line

(None)

Stipulations

Page Line Page Line

(None)

Questions Marked

Page Line Page Line

(None)

- - -

Page 12

MS. HARDING: I just wanted to make a statement on the record that the debtors have designated Mr. Finke to answer certain appropriate questions related to certain 30(b)(6) topics.

As we've indicated, Mr. Finke will be available for seven hours today. We've also designated Mr. Hughes and Mr. LaForce to answer other 30(b)(6) topic questions. We are hoping and expecting that the parties seeking to ask questions have coordinated so that we can end in seven hours and we think it's a reasonable expectation.

The debtors have reviewed the deposition of Mr. Lockwood and agree, in essence, with Mr. Lockwood's answers with respect to how the Plan operates and so we think and are very hopeful that there will not be a need to go

Page 11

RICHARD FINKE,
having been sworn by the Notary
Public of the States of New York
and New Jersey, was examined and
testified as follows:

EXAMINATION BY

MR. BROWN:

Q. Good morning, Mr. Finke.

My name is Michael Brown. I represent One
Beacon, Seaton, Geico and Republic for the
objecting insurance companies in the Grace
bankruptcy. You've been deposed several
times before, correct?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. Okay. So we can dispense
with the formalities of what a
deposition's all about?

A. Yes, we can.

Q. Okay.

MS. HARDING: Michael,
would you mind if I made a quick
statement on the record?

MR. BROWN: Sure.

Page 13

further than seven hours to get to
the appropriate inquiry as to how
the Plan operates. So I just
wanted to get that on the record.

MR. BROWN: Okay.
Actually, that's helpful. Maybe I
could follow up with a question for
Mr. Finke.

Q. Mr. Finke, have you
reviewed Mr. Lockwood's Rule 30(b)(6)
deposition transcript?

A. Yes, I have.

Q. Okay. Is there anything
that you read in that transcript that you
disagreed with?

A. No, nothing of substance.

Q. Okay. How about anything
not of substance?

A. There are a few occasions,
I think, where I either would have worded
something differently or where I think Mr.
Lockwood may have been either in error --
might have been in error depending on
whether he was -- depending on the

Page 14

1 context. Let me give you one example of
2 that.

3 **Q. Sure.**

4 A. He, I think, made a
5 statement at one point where he equated
6 asbestos in place coverage or insurance
7 coverage with the asbestos insurance
8 reimbursement agreements. I believe he
9 said he thought they were the same thing,
10 and perhaps in substance or in concept
11 they are. I'm not an insurance lawyer,
12 but I know that under the Plan
13 definitionally the definition of asbestos
14 (sic) in place insurance coverage
15 specifically excludes asbestos
16 reimbursement agreements from the
17 definition.

18 **Q. Okay.**

19 A. Which would suggest they
20 are not the same.

21 **Q. All right. I'm going to**
22 **suggest that Miss Alcabes, or one of the**
23 **people whose issue that is, may want to**
1 **follow up with you on that point.**

Page 15

1 A. Sure.

2 **Q. But let's pass on that.**

3 **Other than what you've just**
4 **described, is there anything else in Mr.**
5 **Lockwood's deposition transcript that the**
6 **debtors disagreed with?**

7 A. Nothing that comes to
8 mind.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me
10 have the first exhibit marked, and
11 can we go off the record for a
12 second.

13 (Off the record.)

14 (Notice of Deposition of
15 Debtors Pursuant to Rule
16 30(b)(6) marked for identification
17 as Exhibit Finke-1.)

18 (Document entitled W.R.
19 Grace/Confirmation Hearing 30(b)(6)
20 Deposition Notice marked for
21 identification as Exhibit
22 Finke-2.)

23 BY MR. BROWN:

24 **Q. Mr. Finke, I'm going to put**

Page 16

1 **before you two exhibits marked -- we're**
2 **using the term Finke 30(b)(6) 1 and Finke**
3 **30(b)(6) 2. For shorthand during the**
4 **deposition I'll just refer to them as**
5 **Finke-1 and Finke-2. Could you identify**
6 **Finke-1 for me, please?**

7 A. It is a Notice of
8 Deposition of Debtors Pursuant to Rule
9 30(b)(6) served by One Beacon, Seaton,
10 Geico and Columbia.

11 **Q. Going forward, it would be**
12 **more accurate to refer to Columbia as**
13 **Republic. I know it says Columbia there.**
14 **The date on here is April 28th, 2009 and**
15 **the site is Drinker Biddle & Reath's**
16 **offices but we obviously changed those by**
17 **agreement after this was scheduled.**

18 **Is it your understanding that**
19 **you're appearing here today in response to**
20 **this Rule 30(b)(6) notice?**

21 A. Yes.

22 **Q. And there were several**
23 **others served on you as well?**

24 A. Correct.

Page 17

1 **Q. Correct, all right.**

2 **If you look at what's been marked**
3 **as Finke-2, can you identify that for**
4 **me?**

5 A. It is a chart 18 pages long
6 entitled W.R. Grace/Confirmation Hearing
7 30(b)(6) Deposition Notice Witness
8 Designations.

9 **Q. Okay. And is it your**
10 **understanding that this document was**
11 **prepared by your counsel?**

12 A. Yes, that's my
13 understanding.

14 **Q. And have you seen it before**
15 **today?**

16 A. Yes.

17 **Q. Okay. And am I correct**
18 **that it basically lists all the various**
19 **topics from all the 30(b)(6) notices that**
20 **were served on Grace and then designates**
21 **one of, I believe, three individuals to**
22 **testify about the various topics?**

23 A. I would agree that it
24 includes all 30(b)(6) notices that have

Page 70

1 **you now what has been marked as Exhibit 8**
 2 **to this deposition and what is Exhibit 1**
 3 **to the Exhibit Book. First question is:**
 4 **Would you identify the document, please?**

5 A. Yes. I think Exhibit 8 is
 6 the First Amended Joint Plan of
 7 Reorganization that was filed by Grace and
 8 its co-proponents.

9 **Q. Okay.**

10 A. And the date is February --
 11 date on the document is February 27,
 12 2009.

13 **Q. Okay. Have you reviewed**
 14 **this document in its entirety?**

15 A. Yes.

16 **Q. How many times?**

17 MS. HARDING: You mean in
 18 its entirety how many times?

19 MR. BROWN: Well, let's
 20 start-up with that question.

21 A. Interpreting review as
 22 meaning a detailed word-for-word reading
 23 of the entire document, I would say
 1 once.

Page 72

1 MS. HARDING: No, it's
 2 not.

3 MR. BROWN: Okay. It's
 4 just --

5 MS. HARDING: It's just an
 6 objection that...

7 A. I'm sure that I do not
 8 understand the annex or annexes that I
 9 believe relate to tax issues.

10 MS. HARDING: I guess --
 11 are you asking him in his personal
 12 capacity?

13 MR. BROWN: I don't think
 14 he's here in his personal capacity.
 15 I think he's here in his capacity
 16 as a designee for W.R. Grace or for
 17 the debtors.

18 MS. HARDING: Okay. Are
 19 you asking him if there's anybody
 20 at W.R. Grace that has an
 21 understanding of different
 22 provisions of the Plan as lawyers
 23 and --

24 MR. BROWN: I think he's

Page 71

1 **Q. Okay. And how many times**
 2 **have you partially reviewed the**
 3 **document?**

4 A. Many times.

5 **Q. Okay. Do you understand**
 6 **it?**

7 A. I have an understanding of
 8 it. I would not profess to have a
 9 complete understanding of it.

10 **Q. Okay. Are there particular**
 11 **provisions in the Plan that you're quite**
 12 **certain you don't understand?**

13 MS. HARDING: Object to
 14 form and relevance and concern that
 15 we're not going to the seven
 16 hours -- I mean, if you have a
 17 specific question about a specific
 18 provision that you don't understand
 19 as an insured, then I think you
 20 should ask him questions about
 1 that. I think...

22 MR. BROWN: Is that an
 23 instruction not to answer the
 24 question?

Page 73

1 here to testify about the operation
 2 of the Plan. I think that was --
 3 isn't he? So my question is
 4 what --

5 MS. HARDING: He's here to
 6 answer questions to help you
 7 understand the Plan.

8 MR. BROWN: Barbara, can
 9 we --

10 MS. HARDING: So I think if
 11 there are questions that you don't
 12 understand, I think you should ask
 13 him those.

14 MR. BROWN: I would like to
 15 know whether there are particular
 16 provisions in the Plan that the
 17 witness can identify that he is not
 18 familiar with or that he doesn't
 19 understand.

20 MS. HARDING: Well, I think
 21 he's asked and answered, so...

22 A. Yes, for myself there are
 23 provisions that I do not understand, such
 24 as the tax annexes. This --

Page 74

1 MS. HARDING: Which also
2 were not designated 30(b)(6) topics
3 by any person who --

4 MR. BROWN: Can I ask that
5 we just let the witness answer the
6 question?

7 MS. HARDING: Well, I think
8 if you want to ask him questions
9 about topics that were designated
10 that you asked him to become
11 familiar with, then --

12 MR. BROWN: I didn't ask
13 him a question about the tax annex.
14 It was in his answer.

15 MS. HARDING: Well, that's
16 because you asked him about any
17 provision of the Plan. You
18 asked -- we tried to prepare the
19 witness to answer questions about
20 topics that everybody asked about.

21 MR. BROWN: All right.
22 I'll ask my question again. If you
23 have an objection and you want to
1 instruct him not to answer, then do

Page 76

1 **your attention to page 87 of the Plan,**
2 **Section 7.15, and what I would like you to**
3 **do, because I have a series of questions**
4 **about it, is why don't you take a few**
5 **moments to review Section 7.15. In fact,**
6 **if you want to take a break at this**
7 **point --**

8 MR. BROWN: Does that make
9 sense? Okay.

10 MS. HARDING: Well, I mean,
11 how long is it, again?

12 THE WITNESS: Seven
13 pages.

14 MS. HARDING: Five-minute
15 break?

16 MR. BROWN: That's fine,
17 yes.

18 (Recess taken.)

19 BY MR. BROWN:

20 **Q. Mr. Finke, we had a short**
21 **break and before that I directed your**
22 **attention to Section 7.15 of the Plan**
23 **entitled Insurance Neutrality. Did you**
24 **have an opportunity to review that section**

Page 75

1 it and we'll move on.

2 BY MR. BROWN:

3 **Q. Mr. Finke, as you sit here**
4 **today looking at the Joint Plan, can you**
5 **identify particular provisions that you do**
6 **not understand?**

7 MS. HARDING: Object, asked
8 and answered, but answer one more
9 time if you'd like.

10 A. In addition to what I've
11 already identified, the provision on the
12 warrants is not entirely clear to me. And
13 if I spent the time to go through the
14 document page by page, there may be a few
15 other sections that I don't feel very
16 comfortable with in terms of the level of
17 my understanding.

18 Speaking on behalf of W.R. Grace as
19 a whole, there are individuals who
20 understand those sections and, taken as a
21 whole, I think W.R. Grace does have a good
22 understanding of the Plan.

23 **Q. Okay. Well, let me take**
24 **your counsel up on her offer and direct**

Page 77

1 **during the break?**

2 A. Yes.

3 **Q. This was not one of the**
4 **sections that you mentioned in your prior**
5 **testimony that you were -- that you did**
6 **not understand. Is it safe to say that**
7 **this is a provision that you do**
8 **understand? And I'm asking that question,**
9 **really, in your capacity as an individual**
10 **and as the designee on this subject for**
11 **the debtors.**

12 MS. HARDING: Object to
13 form.

14 A. Yes, I believe I understand
15 it.

16 **Q. Okay. Can you turn to**
17 **Section 11.9 of the Plan, and that's**
18 **entitled Exculpation, and if you'd take a**
19 **moment to review that section.**

20 (The witness reviews the document.)

21 A. Okay.

22 **Q. Given the language in**
23 **Section 7.15, am I correct that asbestos**
24 **insurance entities are not bound by the**

Page 78

exculpation provision in Section 11.9 of the Plan?

MR. LIESEMER: Object to the form.

MS. HARDING: Object to the form.

A. I believe they -- the asbestos insurance companies are bound by Section 11.9.

Q. They are bound?

A. Yes.

Q. If you go back to 7.15, where is that set forth?

MS. HARDING: Object to form.

MR. LIESEMER: Same objection.

A. Well, of course, there's no provision in Section 7.15 that specifically states that the insurers are bound by Section 11.9. I assume that's not what you're asking, but -- well, literally, I think that is what you asked, so --

Page 80

It also calls for a legal conclusion.

(The witness reviews the document.)

A. Okay. I would direct you to Section 7.15(h) which states that "the asbestos insurance entities shall be subject to the releases and injunctions to the extent described in this Plan" so my answer to your question is that I believe any provisions in the Plan that would constitute a release or an injunction, and I would include 11.9 in that language, are binding on the asbestos insurance entities.

Q. So your testimony is that 7.15(h) includes through its language Section 11.9?

A. Yes, that is how I read it.

Q. What consideration, if any, are Grace's insureds getting under the Plan in exchange for the exculpation provision in 11.9?

MR. LIESEMER: Objection to

Page 79

Q. Yes, that is what I asked.

A. -- that's my answer then.

Q. So there's nothing in 7.15 that says that they're bound by 11.9 but your testimony is that they are in fact bound by 11.9?

A. Yes.

Q. Are there any other provisions in the Plan that are not specifically spelled out in Section 7.15 for which the insurers are bound notwithstanding Section 7.15?

MS. HARDING: Objection to form, and I think it misstates his testimony.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. Could you read back the question? (The reporter reads the pending question.)

MS. HARDING: Object to form. I think it's confusing, speculative. I don't see how you can possibly answer that question. But if you can answer it, go ahead.

Page 81

form.

MS. HARDING: Objection to form.

A. All right. First, your question assumes that the insurance entities would be entitled to some consideration in exchange for being bound by Section 11.9. I don't know that to be the case. I don't know that they're not entitled to it either.

But as far as consideration, if one had to justify being bound by Section 11.9 on the basis of consideration, I think the answer with respect to asbestos insurance entities would also apply to all parties involved in the Chapter 11, which is that the entities and individuals covered by the exculpation have been active in the business of these Chapter 11 cases, they have had to take positions, make arguments, make decisions, et cetera, that affect one or more parties involved in the Chapter 11 cases and have thereby exposed themselves to potential liability, I

Page 82

1 suppose, for their acts or omissions. And
2 the Chapter 11 itself could not proceed to
3 the point of resolution without the
4 efforts of these entities and these
5 individuals. So to the extent -- so there
6 is a -- in order to encourage and
7 facilitate the activities of the parties
8 listed in Section 11.9, it is my
9 understanding that it is common in these
10 types of bankruptcies to provide
11 exculpation of those entities and
12 individuals for their activities, and I'm
13 quoting here from 11.9, "In connection
14 with or arising out of the Chapter 11
15 cases." It is their participation and the
16 fruits of their participation that would
17 constitute consideration.

18 **Q. I want to circle back to a**
19 **question that I asked a few questions ago**
20 **concerning 7.15 and I asked you a question**
21 **to the effect of other than what's**
22 **specifically set forth in Section 7.15 are**
23 **there any other provisions in the Plan or**
24 **Plan documents that are binding upon**

Page 83

1 **Grace's insurers, and in answer to that**
2 **question you referred me to subsection (h)**
3 **and how 11.9 in the debtor's view was**
4 **encompassed within the language of (h).**

5 **So I want to go back to that**
6 **question and ask: Other than 11.9, is**
7 **there anything else?**

8 A. I'm --

9 MS. HARDING: Object to
10 form. I think it's confusing
11 and I'll leave it at that. If you
12 can answer, go ahead.

13 A. I believe there is a more
14 general provision relating to the binding
15 nature of court orders, findings, et
16 cetera. That is what I was looking for
17 initially in response to your answer and
18 then I remembered the provision in 7.15(h)
19 and so I've directed you to that
20 provision. If you want me to spend the
21 time -- I do not know where in that Plan
22 that more general provision is that I have
23 in mind.

24 **Q. Well --**

Page 84

1 A. I could spend the time to
2 look for it if you'd like.

3 **Q. No, let's try this a little**
4 **differently. Look at 7.15(a).**

5 A. Okay.

6 **Q. It says "Except to the**
7 **extent provided in this Section 7.15,**
8 **notwithstanding anything to the contrary**
9 **in the Confirmation Order, the Plan or any**
10 **of the Plan documents -- nothing in the**
11 **Confirmation Order, the Plan or the Plan**
12 **documents, including any other provision**
13 **that purports to be preemptory or**
14 **supervening, shall in any way operate to**
15 **or have the effect of impairing any**
16 **asbestos insurance entity's legal,**
17 **equitable or contractual rights, if any,**
18 **in any respect." Have I read that**
19 **correctly?**

20 A. I believe so.

21 **Q. Okay. And what I'm asking**
22 **is: Given that broad statement, are there**
23 **any other provisions in the plan that are**
24 **not set forth in 7.15 that override the**

Page 85

1 **language in 7.15(a)?**

2 MS. HARDING: Object to
3 form.

4 A. Based on the language of
5 7.15(a), and if I'm understanding it as it
6 was intended, it states by its terms that
7 nothing else in the Plan or any of the
8 Plan documents would operate, you know, to
9 impair the -- an asbestos insurance
10 entity's rights.

11 **Q. So is your answer no?**

12 MS. HARDING: Object, asked
13 and answered, but...

14 A. Based on the language in
15 7.15(a), my answer would be no, subject
16 to -- subject to wanting to review the
17 remainder of the Plan because, as I
18 mentioned, I do have in mind that there is
19 one or more general provisions concerning
20 the applicability or binding nature of
21 court orders, court findings and the like.

22 And while I understand 7.15(a)
23 appears to act in such a way that would
24 make my proviso in my answer irrelevant, I

Page 86

1 would still feel more comfortable having
2 found and reviewed those other provisions
3 before giving an unequivocal "no".

4 **Q. Let's do this because we**
5 **don't want to waste time. Why don't we --**
6 **I'm going to continue on. We'll obviously**
7 **have breaks. And during one of those**
8 **breaks, why don't you look for whatever**
9 **provision it is that you -- or provisions**
10 **that you think you're talking about and**
11 **then when we return from our break, even**
12 **if I'm not the questioner, would you bring**
13 **those one or two sections up to me? That**
14 **will save us some time.**

15 A. That's fine.

16 **Q. All right. I want to focus**
17 **your attention now on 7.15(b).**

18 (The witness reviews the document.)

19 A. Okay.

20 **Q. You see on the second line**
21 **there rolling over to the third line the**
22 **phrase "The beneficiaries of the Asbestos**
23 **PI Trust"? Do you see that?**

1 A. Yes.

Page 88

1 **know that it is, per se, defined.**

2 MS. HARDING: Where is it
3 in the TDP?

4 MR. BROWN: It's in Section
5 5.13.

6 MR. COHN: Is that on page
7 49 of the TDP?

8 MR. BROWN: I don't know
9 the page number.

10 THE WITNESS: Page 49,
11 yes.

12 A. Based on Section 5.13 of
13 the TDP and on the basis that a holder of
14 an indemnified insured TDP claim
15 potentially may have that claim paid by
16 the PI Trust in accordance with Section
17 5.13, I would interpret such a holder to
18 be a beneficiary of the PI Trust.

19 **Q. Okay. So let's just take**
20 **one of my clients, for example. Let's**
21 **take Seaton Insurance Company. If Seaton**
22 **Insurance Company has an indemnified**
23 **insured TDP claim, then Seaton Insurance**
24 **Company, as I understand 7.15(b), is bound**

Page 87

1 **Q. What do you understand that**
2 **term to mean?**

3 MR. LIESEMER: Object to
4 the form.

5 **Q. What does that term mean?**

6 A. I understand it to mean
7 holders of asbestos PI claims.

8 **Q. Okay. And does that**
9 **include holders of indirect Asbestos PI**
10 **Trust claims?**

11 MR. LIESEMER: Object to
12 form.

13 A. Yes.

14 **Q. And does it include**
15 **indemnified insurer -- does it -- excuse**
16 **me.**

17 **Does that term include the holders**
18 **of indemnified insurer TDP claims?**

19 MR. LIESEMER: Object to
20 the form.

1 A. Is that a defined term?

22 **Q. Good question. It is a**
23 **term that appears in Section 5.13 of the**
24 **Trust Distribution Procedures. I don't**

Page 89

1 **by the Plan, the Plan documents and the**
2 **confirmation order?**

3 MR. LIESEMER: Object to
4 the form.

5 MS. HARDING: Object to the
6 form.

7 **Q. Do I have that correct?**

8 A. I believe so, yes.

9 **Q. Okay. And is it bound by**
10 **the Plan, Plan documents and confirmation**
11 **order insofar as it may also be listed as**
12 **being a partially settled insurer?**

13 MS. HARDING: Object to the
14 form. And are you referring to
15 7.15(b)?

16 MR. BROWN: Yes.

17 MS. HARDING: Back to
18 7.15(b) when you asked that
19 question?

20 MR. BROWN: Yes.

21 A. I'm not sure I see the
22 connection between Section 5.13 of the TDP
23 and your question, if there is any.
24 The -- I believe the answer is they are

Page 90

1 bound to the same extent any asbestos
2 insurance entity is bound under the
3 Plan.

4 **Q. Mr. Finke, you understand,**
5 **don't you, that -- well, let's not do it**
6 **that way. Let's go to -- I think it's the**
7 **asbestos insurance transfer agreement.**

8 MS. HARDING: Is that one
9 of our exhibits?

10 MR. BROWN: No, I'm sorry,
11 it's not that. It's Exhibit 5.

12 **Q. Do you have Exhibit 5?**

13 A. Retained causes of action?

14 **Q. No. This is Exhibit 5 to**
15 **the Exhibit Book.**

16 A. To the Exhibit Book.

17 MS. HARDING: I have a
18 copy. It's not his but you can
19 look at it if you'd like.

20 MR. COHN: What is the
21 document?

22 MS. BAER: It's Exhibit 5
23 to the Exhibit Book, Schedule of
24 Settled Asbestos Insurers.

Page 92

1 **there are indemnity claims against the**
2 **debtor and to the extent that those are**
3 **asbestos-related, those fit within the**
4 **defined term "indemnified insured TDP**
5 **claims", correct?**

6 MS. HARDING: Object to
7 form.

8 MR. LIESEMER: Join.

9 MS. HARDING: Are you
10 looking for 7.15?

11 MS. ALCABES: 5.13.

12 MS. HARDING: There you go.

13 A. No, I don't agree.

14 MR. BROWN: Could you read
15 back the last question?

16 (The reporter reads the
17 requested portion.)

18 A. No, I don't agree. My
19 understanding of Section 5.13 is this
20 provision would take effect only upon
21 confirmation of the Plan since the
22 definition indicates, or requires, that
23 the indemnified insurer TDP claim is
24 channeled to the PI Trust, which it can't

Page 91

1 (Exhibit 5 to Exhibit Book,
2 Schedule of Settled Asbestos
3 Insurers Entitled to 524(g)
4 Protection marked for
5 identification as Exhibit
6 Finke-9.)

7 **Q. What I'd like you, Mr.**
8 **Finke -- first of all, why don't you**
9 **identify what we've just marked as Exhibit**
10 **9?**

11 A. Okay. Finke Exhibit 9 is
12 Exhibit 5 to the Exhibit Book. It is
13 entitled Schedule of Settled Asbestos
14 Insurers Entitled to 524(g) Protection.

15 **Q. Now, you understand, don't**
16 **you, that at least some of the insurance**
17 **companies that are listed on this schedule**
18 **have indemnity claims against the**
19 **debtors?**

20 MR. LIESEMER: Object to
21 the form of the question.

22 A. Yes, I believe that's
23 correct.

24 **Q. And to the extent that**

Page 93

1 be at this point.

2 **Q. All these questions are in**
3 **the context of the Plan being confirmed.**

4 A. Well, then I don't --

5 **Q. Let me back up. I think**
6 **the record's kind of muddled at this**
7 **point.**

8 A. Okay.

9 **Q. Why don't you -- if you**
10 **look at the schedule of settled asbestos**
11 **insurance companies, I believe you'd**
12 **testified -- that's Exhibit 9 -- I believe**
13 **you'd testified that some of the companies**
14 **that are listed on there have contractual**
15 **indemnity claims against the debtors.**

16 A. That was under the
17 assumption we were talking about current
18 claims. I didn't realize you had -- that
19 your questions were all in the context of
20 the assumption of a confirmed plan.

21 **Q. All right. If you look at**
22 **the schedule, you understand that the**
23 **insureds that are listed on here have**
24 **settlement agreements with the debtors,**

Page 94

1 correct?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And you also understand
4 that certain of those settlement
5 agreements have contractual indemnity
6 provisions in them, correct?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And I believe you testified
9 that those contractual indemnity
10 provisions are under the Plan to be
11 treated as indemnified insured TDP claims
12 under Section 5.13 of the TDP. Is that
13 correct?

14 A. No, no, that certainly
15 wasn't my intent.

16 Q. Okay. How are they being
17 treated under the Plan?

18 A. As indirect PI Trust
19 claims.

20 Q. Okay. Do you understand
21 indemnified insured TDP claims to be a
22 class of indirect PI Trust claims?

23 A. It appears to me to be
24 that, that they are the same. Or at least

Page 96

1 Q. Let's get back to the
2 insurance neutrality provision then, which
3 is 7.15.

4 A. Okay.

5 Q. Getting back to 7.15(b),
6 this line of questioning talked with or
7 started with the reference to the term,
8 the phrase, "the beneficiaries of the
9 Asbestos PI Trust".

10 A. Uh-huh.

11 Q. I'm a little confused by
12 your testimony at this point. If you are
13 the holder of an indemnified insurer TDP
14 claim post-confirmation, are you a
15 beneficiary of the Asbestos PI Trust?

16 MR. LIESEMER: Object to
17 the form of the question.

18 MS. HARDING: Object to
19 form.

20 A. Assuming for the sake of
21 argument such a claim could arise, my
22 understanding would be yes.

23 MR. BROWN: All right. I'm
24 going to shift gears. We'll mark

Page 95

1 I don't see a distinction. Whether they
2 are intended to be or not, I don't know.
3 Since we really were not involved in the
4 drafting of the TDP, my --

5 Q. The "we" you're referring
6 to is Grace?

7 A. Grace, yes. My bigger
8 problem is that once the plan is confirmed
9 I don't understand -- have not understood
10 and don't today how there can be such a
11 claim under 5.13 since my understanding of
12 the mechanics of the asbestos PI
13 channeling injunction is that any claim
14 against a settled insurer which is an
15 asbestos protected party would be barred
16 and that claim would be channeled to the
17 PI Trust and that that holder of that PI
18 claim, the sole resolution -- not
19 resolution -- the sole source for any
20 recovery for the holder of that claim is
21 the PI Trust.

22 So I have not understood, and still
23 don't, how any indemnified insurer TDP
24 claim could arise.

Page 97

1 another document. The document I'm
2 about to mark is one of our
3 settlement agreements so we can
4 mark this portion of the deposition
5 subject to the protective order but
6 what I would like to do with this
7 one, like we did with Mr. Posner,
8 to the extent that no one objects
9 to the extent that we, my clients,
10 would like to use this portion of
11 the testimony without having it
12 under seal, we would be able to do
13 so without asking all parties to
14 agree. Is that fair?

15 MS. HARDING: That's up to
16 you.

17 MR. BROWN: All right.

18 MR. LEWIS: Did you say
19 Mr. Posner?

20 MR. BROWN: Yes.

21 MR. LEWIS: Okay.

22 (Settlement Agreement
23 Bates stamped OB 1 through 33
24 marked for identification as

Page 98

1 Exhibit Finke-10.)

2 MS. HARDING: And as I
3 understand it, all parties are -- I
4 think all parties in this room have
5 agreed to the protective order.

6 MR. BROWN: I don't know.
7 Is Mr. Speights on the line?

8 Mr. Speights?

9 MS. BAER: We can put on
10 the record that Mr. Speights never
11 objected to the provisions of the
12 protective order.

13 MR. BROWN: Okay.

14 **Q. Mr. Finke, you have before**
15 **you a document which has been marked**
16 **Finke-10 and I'd like you to take a few**
17 **moments to review it and then tell me**
18 **whether you have ever seen the document**
19 **before.**

20 A. No, I have not.

21 **Q. Okay. Can you turn to**
22 **pages 30 and 31?**

23 MR. LIESEMER: Are you
1 referring to the Bates numbering?

Page 100

1 the document before.

2 A. Based on the --

3 MS. HARDING: To the extent
4 that you know.

5 THE WITNESS: Sorry.

6 A. Based on the signature
7 page, the parties are W.R. Grace & Co.,
8 W.R. Grace & Co.-Conn., Commercial Union
9 Insurance Company as successor in interest
10 to Employers Commercial Union Insurance
11 Company of America and Employers
12 Commercial Union Insurance Company and
13 American Employers Insurance Company.

14 **Q. Okay. And would you agree**
15 **with me that the parties that executed**
16 **this document appear, at least from what**
17 **is on the document, to have signed it in**
18 **or around May of 1993?**

19 A. Yes.

20 **Q. Okay. If you look at the**
21 **signature block for Mr. Beber, he's**
22 **indicated as having signed this document**
23 **on behalf of W.R. Grace & Co. Do you see**
24 **that?**

Page 99

1 MR. BROWN: Actually, it's
2 the same on these, on this
3 particular document, but OB 30 and
4 31.

5 **Q. Do you recognize any of the**
6 **signatures that appear on either of those**
7 **pages?**

8 MS. HARDING: You mean the
9 names of the people that have
10 signed or the actual signatures?

11 MR. BROWN: The latter.

12 A. The signature of Robert H.
13 Beber appears to be his signature, not --
14 I can't say that conclusively, but --

15 **Q. Do you recognize --**

16 A. -- it looks familiar.

17 **Q. Do you recognize the**
18 **signature of Mr. Posner?**

19 A. I don't.

20 **Q. Who are the parties to the**
21 **agreement?**

22 MS. HARDING: Object to
23 form and object to the extent that
24 he's testified that he's never seen

Page 101

1 MS. HARDING: Which?

2 A. Yes.

3 MS. HARDING: Wait a
4 minute. What page? 30 or 31?

5 MR. BROWN: OB 30.

6 MS. HARDING: Right, I just
7 wanted to make it clear that he
8 appears to be in two different
9 places.

10 MR. BROWN: I'm focused on
11 the first signature on OB 30.

12 MS. HARDING: Page 30, all
13 right.

14 **Q. The company that was called**
15 **W.R. Grace & Company in May of 1993 has a**
16 **different name today, doesn't it?**

17 A. I believe that's correct.

18 **Q. Okay. And the name is**
19 **Fresenius Medical Care Holdings, Inc., is**
20 **it not?**

21 A. I don't know the answer off
22 the top of my head.

23 **Q. Okay. Let me direct you to**
24 **a few things in the Plan. I'd like you to**